
Are "ALEPH" z305 records necessary?

- **Article Type:** General
 - **Product:** Aleph
 - **Product Version:** 20
-

Description:

Most patron records have two records in Z305, one with a type ABC50, the other with a type ALEPH in the Z305_REC_KEY. These records are otherwise identical. Some records only have the PAC50 record.

Why the difference and does it mean anything?

Resolution:

Though you have 7,368 ALEPH z305's:

```
abc50@ALEPH20> select count(*) from z305 where substr (z305_rec_key,13,5) = 'ALEPH';  
COUNT(*)
```

7368

all of these have a corresponding ABC50 z305:

```
abc50@ALEPH20> select substr (z305_rec_key,1,12) from z305 where substr (z305_rec_key,13,5) ='ALEPH' minus select  
substr (z305_rec_key,1,12) from z305 where substr (z305_rec_key,13,5) = 'ABC50';  
no rows selected
```

As described in KB 8192-7319, if you have USER-SHARING=N in the ADM \$data_tab/tab100 -- which you do -- there is no need for ALEPH z305's in version 18-up.

Though the presence of these ALEPH z305's does no active harm, it can be confusing. I suggest that you do the following (on Test):

1. back up the abc50 z305 table using p_file_03
2. run this SQL to delete the ALEPH z305's:
SQL> delete from z305 where substr (z305_rec_key,13,5) = 'ALEPH';
3. run p_cir_25 to regenerate the z353
4. eliminate the ALEPH z305 records from your p_file_20 PLIF input file.

(If you don't do step #4, the ALEPH z305's will just be recreated.)

If this works OK, then you can do it on Prod.

- **Article last edited:** 10/8/2013