

Links between z30 and z68 for Standing Order ISSUE items

- **Article Type:** General
- **Product:** Aleph
- **Product Version:** 16.02

Description:

1.How are the specific items (z30) are linked to the specific orders (z68)?

We'd like to update z30_expected_arrival_date (where it's <>00000000) to 00000000 only for items that are connected to outstanding orders (z68_order_type='O').

One adm can have several orders of different types, and its items (all or partly) can be connected to the irrelevant order type, so substr(z30_rec_key,1,9)=substr(z68_rec_key,1,9) is not enough. Also, in most cases, order_number in z30_acq_data is blank in our records.

2.Should any third table be involved? Is z30_copy_id=substr(z68_rec_key,10,14) irrelevant?

3.

A.Also, when we use select from both tabs z30 and z68, and use substr(z30_rec_key,1,9)=substr(z68_rec_key,1,9), we receive specific numbers of results, grouped by sublibrary.

B.But when we use select only from one tab z30, and then use subquery substr(z30_rec_key,1,9) in (select substr(z68_rec_key,1,9)...), we receive the same results for several sublibs, while other results (smaller number than in A) for the other sulibs, why?

Resolution:

The z30_copy_id is not relevant. (It is the copy# which prints on the spine of the book. Most sites don't use it.)

If the z30 is linked to a z16 subscription record, that can be used.

The link from the z30 to the z16 is the z30_rec_key_2. The z30_rec_key_2 is the z16_rec_key. It is structured as follows:

```
02 Z30-REC-KEY-2. S
03 Z30-DOC-NUMBER-2 PICTURE 9(9).
03 Z30-SCHEDULE-SEQUENCE-2 PICTURE 9(5).
03 Z30-COPY-SEQUENCE-2 PICTURE 9(5).
```

The z16, in turn, is linked to a particular z68 order record: z16_order_number = z68_order_number.

From site: Yes, we'd like to update z30_expected_arrival_date to '00000000' for the items with z30_material "ISSUE" *and* they are for orders with 68_order_type "O" for each sublib. I retrieved only 3 records with the help of z16 (not 'z68-order-status not 'CLS' and z30_expected_arrival_date<>00000000), we have much more records. Since the service packs were install'd last November the expected arrival date in the item record is again being incorrectly populated for standing orders.

Ex.

```
02 z30_rec_key \
03 doc_number .....002341660
03 item_sequence .....000170
02 z30_barcode .....31716004895599
02 z30_sub_library .....BB001
```

02 z30_materialISSUE
02 z30_issue_date20070308
02 z30_expected_arrival_date ...20070308 <--incorrect system supplied date

From Jerry: The z30.doc says this about z30_expected_arrival_date: "Not relevant for monograph or standing orders." It seems that it expects standing orders to *not* have ISSUE items.

- **Article last edited:** 10/8/2013